



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/533,042	03/22/2000	Ryuichi Sunayama	826.1597/JDH	7804	
21171	7590 01/08/2004		EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			KNAPP, JUSTIN R		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20005		2182	9	
			DATE MAILED: 01/08/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

¥	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/533,042	SUNAYAMA ET AL					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Justin Knapp	2182					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 04 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THIS on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. (36(a) and the appropriat	See MPEP				
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nths after the mailing date of the final reje	ection, even if timely filed,					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) \(\square\) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
$3.\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-18.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s),						
10. Other:	AND THE REST OF THE PARTY OF TH						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Advisory Action

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100



Continuation of 2. NOTE: New issues that change the scope of the following claims include: Claim 1: "and wherein said storing circuit stores the return address of the subroutine as the information specifying the return address."; Claim 4: "and wherein only the taken instruction of a branch instruction is thus registered."; Claim 6: "commonly designated as the branch destination address register."; Claim 10: "and wherein the predicted branch is the branch of the instruction equivalent to the subroutine return in an architecture for which a particular instruction for a subroutine is not prepared."; Claim 13: "in the branch history of"; Claims 14-18: "and wherein only the taken instruction of a branch instruction is thus registered." and new Claim 19..